POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

LEGAL AUTHORITIES

Pursuant to Sections 327, 330(a), 331, and 1107 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court may approve interim "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered" by a professional hired by debtors-in-possession. The applicant has the burden of proof to show the reasonableness of the fees sought. <u>In re Ginji Corp.</u>, 117 B.R. 983, 990 (Bankr. D. Nev. 1990).

- (3) In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded ... a professional person, the court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including ---
 - (A) the time spent on such services;
 - (B) the rates charged for such services;
- (C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this title;
- (D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed;
- (E) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field; and
- (F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.
- (4)(A) ... [T]he court shall not allow compensation for--
 - (i) unnecessary duplication of services; or
 - (ii) services that were not--
 - (I) reasonably likely to benefit the debtor's estate; or
 - (II) necessary to the administration of the case.

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3) and (4)(A).

The Court's duty to review fees "cannot be lightly exercised because of the very fact that the client may have little concern over the amount of the fees paid as the fees are being paid" from other parties' assets. Ginji, at 989. "The beleaguered debtor may not wish to strain his relationship with their life-rope" and "opposition from others may be tempered by the fact they too, expect to be paid from estate assets." Id.

The Court must also take into consideration whether the professional exercised reasonable billing judgement. In re Mednet, 251 B.R. 103, 108 (9th Cir. BAP 2000).

- (a) Is the burden of the probable cost of legal services disproportionately large in relation to the size of the estate and maximum probable recovery?
- (b) To what extent will the estate suffer if the services are not rendered?
- (c) To what extent may the estate benefit if the services are rendered and what is

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the likelihood of the disputed issues being resolved successfully?

Absent unusual circumstances, an attorney must scale his or her fee at least to the reasonably expected recovery.

Unsecrued Creditors' Comm. V. Puget Sound Plywood, Inc., 924 F2d 955, 959-61 (9th Cir. 1991).

ANALYSIS

From the beginning of these cases, all professionals were aware that a limited number of assets, perhaps declining in value, were available to pay claims and equity, and that each dollar incurred as an administrative claim, in all probability, would result in one dollar less for unsecured creditors or equity. All professionals are required to exercise reasonable billing judgment, based on the facts of the case. For these reasons, proper billing judgement by the professionals required a limited and measured approach to these cases. In re Mednet, 251 B.R. 103, 108 (9th Cir. BAP 2000).

Applicant's request for \$1,088,391 in fees, plus \$42,157.95 for costs is for one thousand six hundred ninety hours (1,690) with a blended rate of \$644.02.

The US Trustee objects to the Application as follows:

1. Unnecessary time spent on the attempted employment of New West/Terry Manley.

Starting on April 27, 2010 and continuing through May 2010, Applicant has billed a total of approximately 23.2 hours for \$12,702.50, for work regarding Authorizing Employment And Retention Of New West Development As Real Estate Consultant And Assumption Of Prepetition Consulting Agreement As Amended Post-Petition *Nunc Pro Tunc* To The Petition Date. Docket #103. The US Trustee, among others, objected to the employment because New West/Terry Manley were not disinterested, and would duplicate the services of Specialty Financial Corp. and Specialty Mortgage Corp.. Docket #132. The application to employ New West/Terry Manley was eventually withdrawn.

Applicant billed approximately 5.5 hours for \$1,934.50 in April 2010 for work regarding the New West/Terry Manley employment. Docket #289, pgs 23-24. Applicant and the US Trustee agreed to reduce these amounts by 50%. However, Applicant has billed approximately 17.7 hours for \$10,768 in May 2010 for work related to the New West/Terry Manley

employment. Docket #316, pgs. 35-40.

The exercise of reasonable billing judgment required that Applicant terminate its efforts to obtain employment of New West/Terry Manley after it became informed that Terry Manley did not qualify for employment. Terry Manley is the managing member of New West, is a shareholder of Specialty Trust, Inc., and owes Specialty Trust, Inc. \$400,000. Manley Decl., Docket #104, pg. 1, lls. 21-25. Further, Terry Manley and Mr. Gonfiantini are "partners or comanagers" of several land investments. Manley Decl., Docket #104, pg. 4, lls. 25-28.

Applicant's billing entries reflect knowledge of the New West/Terry Manley consulting agreement and problems with the same no later than April 29, 2010. Docket #289, pg. 24. Therefore, the approximately 17.7 hours for \$10,768 billed in May 2010, is not reasonable and should not be allowed.¹ Applicant has already reduced its fees by \$5,490 for this work, pursuant to the Application, leaving an additional \$5,278 in dispute. Docket #492, pg. 18, lls. 4-6.

2. Excessive time or rate for form or routine pleadings or matters.

Part of the justification for billing rates of \$825, \$695, and \$625 is that the professionals have expertise from prior experience. Many matters and issues in bankruptcy cases repeat in case after case, allowing the experienced professional to work more efficiently and thereby justify the higher billable rate.

However, in these cases, despite Applicant's relatively high blended billable rates (\$692.61 and \$648.97), efficiency is not reflected in the billings for the preparation and presentation of some form or routine pleadings. In particular, too much time was spent on the preparation, review, re-review, modification, re-review, and presentation of the following:

- 1) motion to limit notice, approximately 10 hours for \$5,783.50;²
- 2) confidentiality agreement(s): approximately 27.2 hours for \$19,788, in May 2010.

¹ The voluntary reduction of approximately \$967.25 for the April 2010 work is still appropriate because the first inquiry that should have been made was to Terry Manley's connections with the Debtors, prior to spending time drafting the application and supporting declaration of Terry Manley.

² To the extent the Court reduces these fees, credit should be given for the voluntary reduction of \$2,049.25 already made by Applicant.

1	Docket #316, pg. 6, 5/1/10 through 5/5/10; and pages 7-16, especially 5/18/10 through 5/25/10				
2	under Bankruptcy Litigation [L430]. Applicant has additional hours in June 2010 negotiating				
3	confidentiality with Deutsche Bank over terms of agreement and providing information to note				
4	holders. Docket #325, pgs. 7-10. Applicant has an additional 8.9 hours for \$5,750 in June 2010				
5	for negotiating an agreement with the Committee to limit the information the Committee shares				
6	with its members and professionals. Docket #325, pgs. 28-30. Applicant has an additional 2.2				
7	hours for \$1,515 for confidentiality agreement matters in July 2010. Docket #410, pgs. 29-30.				
8	Applicant has an additional 5.6 hours for \$3,508 in August 2010. Docket #417, pgs. 30-32.;				
9	3) basic bankruptcy law research:				
10	(i) 6.6 hours at \$575 per hour for \$3,622.50, for having JJK, very early in these				
11	cases, "Review cases and work on memo on 327/professionals issues," regarding a "managing				
12	agent". Docket #289, pg. 23, 4/26/10 entry; pg. 20, 4/26/10 and 4/27/10 entries; and				
13	(ii) 8.80 hours at \$625 per hour for \$5,500 , for having VAN research and write a				
14	memorandum regarding "cram down standards." Docket #325, pg. 31.; and				
15	4) extension of plan exclusivity: 7.9 hours for \$5,277.50, not including any time for				
16	stipulations on extensions, or attendance in Court. Docket #410, pgs. 33-34; Docket #417, pg.				
17	35.				
18	3. Miscellaneous matters.				
19	No disclosure is made regarding the pre-petition retainer (\$100,000) and post petition				
20	services retainer (\$180,000) received by Applicant. Employment Application, Docket #48, pg. 7				
21	lls. 5-22.				
22	It is not clear that all of the travel time has been billed at 50%. Docket #410, pg. 21,				
23	7/28/10 entry; Docket #417, pg. 11, 8/18/10 entry.				
24	////				
25	////				
26	////				
2.7	////				

28

	Case 10-51432-gwz Doc 551 Entered 12/06/10 10:17:07 Page 6 of 9
1	CONCLUSION
2	The US Trustee respectfully requests that the Court deny the Application in the amount of
3	approximately \$27,000, for the reasons stated above, and for such other relief as is proper.
4	Dated this 6 th day of December, 2010.
5	
6	Respectfully submitted,
7 8	Nicholas Strozza, State Bar # CA 117234 William B. Cossitt, State Bar #3484 300 Booth Street, #3009
9	Reno NV 89509 (775) 784-5335
10	/s/ WILLIAM B. COSSITT
11	Attorneys for Acting United States Trustee August B. Landis
12	August B. Lundis
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 6 -

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I, ROBBIN LITTLE, under penalty of perjury declare: That declarant is, and was when the herein described service took place, a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of
3	age, and not a party to nor interested in, the within action; that on December 6, 2010, I served a copy of the foregoing US TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO PACHULSKI
4	STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP'S FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE
5	INTERIM FEE PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 on the following parties:
6 7	□ a. ECF System:
	SALLIE B ARMSTRONG sarmstrong@downeybrand.com, reno@downeybrand.com
8	BRUCE THOMAS BEESLEY bbeesley@lrlaw.com, rmaples@lrlaw.com;jmoulian@lrlaw.com;mburns@lrlaw.com
	LOUIS M. BUBALA lbubala@armstrongteasdale.com, bsalinas@armstrongteasdale.com
10	JAMES R CAVILIA jcavilia@allisonmackenzie.com, voneill@allisonmackenzie.com
11	JANET L. CHUBB bsalinas@armstrongteasdale.com;twaldo@armstrongteasdale.com
12	ANGELIQUE L. M. CLARK aclarkttee@hotmail.com, NV12@ecfcbis.com
13	NATALIE M. COX ncox@klnevada.com, bankruptcy@klnevada.com;awhite@klnevada.com
1.4	RICHARD W. ESTERKIN resterkin@morganlewis.com
14 15	LUCAS GJOVIG lmgjovig@duanemorris.com, jldailey@duanemorris.com;bsrudolph@duanemorris.com
13	ALVIN J HICKS ajhicks@mcdonaldcarano.com, ssmithson@mcdonaldcarano.com
16	CHRISTOPHER D JAIME cjaime@mclrenolaw.com, kbernhardt@mclrenolaw.com
17	MICHELLE N. KAZMAR mkazmar@downeybrand.com, reno@downeybrand.com
1.0	IRA D KHARASCH ikharasch@pszjlaw.com
18	JORDAN A KROOP jkroop@ssd.com, kgraves@ssd.com;ksinger@ssd.com
19	SHERRI B LAZEAR slazear@bakerlaw.com
20	NILE LEATHAM nleatham@klnevada.com, ckishi@klnevada.com;bankruptcy@klnevada.com
21	SCOTTA E. MCFARLAND smcfarland@pszjlaw.com
22	VICTORIA A. NEWMARK vnewmark@pszjlaw.com
22	MICHAEL J PANKOW mpankow@bhfs.com
23	C DAVID RUSSELL drussell@grgflaw.com, dcalhoun@grgflaw.com
24	HEATHER E. SCHELL hschell@bhfs.com, cwindholz@bhfs.com;dkscott@bhfs.com
	JENNIFER A. SMITH cobrien@lionelsawyer.com, bklscr@lionelsawyer.com
25	KAARAN E. THOMAS kthomas@mcdonaldcarano.com, mmorton@mcdonaldcarano.com
26	U.S. TRUSTEE - RN - 11 USTPRegion17.RE.ECF@usdoj.gov
27	MICHAEL C. VAN michael@shumwayvan.com, sandy@shumwayvan.com;erica@shumwayvan.com;ashley@shumwayvan.com
28	ARTHUR A. ZORIO azorio@watsonrounds.com, kmetcalf@watsonrounds.com

1	
2	b. U.S. Mail, postage fully prepaid (list persons and addresses):
3	SPECIALTY TRUST, INC.
4	6160 PLUMAS STREET, STE 200 RENO, NV 89519
5	ELLEN M. BENDER
6 7	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD, 11TH FLR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-4100
8	R.W. AND A.R. CAPURRO FAMILY TRUST MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
9	C/O KAARAN E. THOMAS, ESQ. P.O. BOX 2670
10	RENO, NV 89505
11	JOSHUA J. HICKS
12	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECH 9210 PROTOTYPE DRIVE, STE 250
13	RENO, NV 89521
14	STEVEN J. KAHN PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
15 16	10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD, 11TH FLR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-4100
17	MARICOPA COUNTY C/O BARBARA LEE CALDWELL
18	7333 E. DOUBLETREE RANCH RD., STE 255 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85258
19	LAUREN M. MCEVOY
20	335 MADISON AVE NEW YORK, NY 10017
21	LAWRENCE P. MEYERS DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL COURTHOUSE RM 711
22 23	222 EAST WEBER AVENUE STOCKTON, CA 95202
24	PHILLIP R. POLLOCK
25	TOBIN & TOBIN 500 SANSOME ST. 8TH FLR
26	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
27	HARLAN R. ROBINS 65 EAST STATE ST., STE 2100 COLUMBUS OF 43215
28	COLUMBUS, OH 43215

Case 10-51432-gwz Doc 551 Entered 12/06/10 10:17:07 Page 8 of 9

	Case 10-51432-gwz Doc 551 Entered 12/06/10 10:17:07 Page 9 of 9				
1	KELLY SINGER				
2	SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP 40 NORTH CENTRAL AVE PHOENIX, AZ 85004				
3	THOENTA, NE 05004				
4	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.				
5	Signed: December 6, 2010				
6					
7					
8	/s/ Robbin Little ROBBIN LITTLE				
9					
1011					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					